

REMARKS

Claims 2-6 are now pending in the application. The Examiner is respectfully requested to reconsider and withdraw the rejection in view of the amendments and remarks contained herein.

CLAIM OBJECTIONS

Claims 2 and 5 are objected to for certain informalities. Claims 2 and 5 have been amended to overcome the claim objections according to the Examiner's suggestions. Accordingly, the objection to claims 2 and 5 should be moot.

REJECTION UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 103

Claim 1 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chen (U.S. Pub. No. 2002/0076885) in view of Chatterjee et al (U.S. Pat. No. 6,117,741). This rejection is respectfully traversed.

Claim 1 is cancelled. This rejection, therefore, is moot.

ALLOWABLE SUBJECT MATTER

The Examiner states that claims 2-6 are allowed. Applicant acknowledges, with thanks, the allowance of these claims.


CONCLUSION

It is believed that all of the stated grounds of rejection have been properly traversed, accommodated, or rendered moot. Applicant therefore respectfully requests

that the Examiner reconsider and withdraw all presently outstanding rejections. It is believed that a full and complete response has been made to the outstanding Office Action, and as such, the present application is in condition for allowance. Thus, prompt and favorable consideration of this amendment is respectfully requested. If the Examiner believes that personal communication will expedite prosecution of this application, the Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned at (248) 641-1600.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: Dec 5, 2004

By: 

G. Gregory Schivley
Reg. No. 27,882
Bryant E. Wade
Reg. No. 40,344

HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C.
P.O. Box 828
Bloomfield Hills, Michigan 48303
(248) 641-1600

GGS/BEW/JAH